

Methotrexate-related beliefs, fears, and use among patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a cross-sectional survey

L'heri Hind¹ , Rkain Hanan² , Farih Sara¹, Tahiri Latifa¹ , Kronbi Fatine¹ ,
Abouqal Redouane³ , Hajjaj-Hassouni Najia⁴ , Allali Fadoua¹ 

¹Department of Rheumatology B, Ayachi Hospital, Ibn Sina Hospital Center, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco

²Exercise Physiology and Autonomous Nervous System Team, Physiology Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco

³Laboratory of Biostatistics, Clinical and Epidemiological Research, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco

⁴International University of Rabat (UIR), College of Health Sciences, Research Center of Health Sciences (CreSS), Rabat, Morocco

Abstract

Introduction: The study aimed to assess the beliefs and fears of Moroccan patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) regarding methotrexate (MTX) and to identify factors associated with the total score on the Beliefs about Medicines Questionnaire-Specific (BMQ).

Material and methods: A single-center cross-sectional study was conducted in patients being treated for RA with MTX. Beliefs about treatment were assessed using the BMQ. Specific fears related to MTX were collected using a structured questionnaire developed for the study. Factors associated with the total BMQ score were explored using univariate and then multivariate linear regression.

Results: One hundred and two patients were included (age: 53.1 ±12.5 years; 90.2% women). The mean BMQ-Concerns score was 15.9 ±6.37. The main concerns were related to potential long-term adverse effects (58.8%), particularly gastrointestinal (65.7%) and hepatic (62.7%) toxicity. A moderate or high level of fear was reported by 53.9% of patients. The mean BMQ-Necessity score was 15.0 ±5.04; 55% felt that their current health depended on MTX, and 51% perceived an overall benefit from the treatment. The median BMQ total score was 1 (from –3 to 4). In multivariate analysis, longer disease duration ($\beta = -0.12$; 95% CI: –0.23 to –0.02) and use of the internet as a source of information ($\beta = -2.66$; 95% CI: –5.06 to –0.27) were independently associated with the total score.

Conclusions: Moroccan patients with RA generally consider MTX to be necessary but express significant concerns about its toxicity. Disease duration and use of the internet significantly influence these perceptions, highlighting the need for therapeutic education interventions and reliable digital information sources.

Key words: methotrexate, rheumatoid arthritis, surveys and questionnaires, beliefs about medicines, treatment concerns.

Introduction

Methotrexate (MTX) is widely recommended as first-line treatment for rheumatoid arthritis (RA) [1, 2] due to its proven efficacy and favorable cost-benefit ratio [3]. However, despite its proven clinical benefits, adherence

to this treatment remains poor, often influenced by patients' fears and beliefs.

Beliefs about medications are a determining factor in treatment adherence in chronic diseases [4]. Poor adherence to treatment can lead to treatment failure, delayed response, and increased disability, which represent

Address for correspondence

L'heri Hind, Department of Rheumatology B, Ayachi Hospital, Ibn Sina Hospital Center, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Mohammed V University, Rue Al Ayachi, 11150 Salé, Morocco, e-mail: lheri.hind@gmail.com

Submitted: 05.12.2025; Accepted: 20.02.2026; Published online: 09.07.2026

a significant economic burden on the healthcare system [5, 6]. In patients with RA, concerns are frequently related to the adverse effects of MTX, such as gastrointestinal toxicity, fatigue, alopecia, and liver toxicity [7, 8].

A better understanding of fears and beliefs related to MTX is therefore necessary to prevent non-compliance, improve therapeutic outcomes, and guide therapeutic education strategies. However, data on these aspects are limited in the Moroccan population with RA.

The objectives of this study are to assess the beliefs and fears of Moroccan patients with RA regarding MTX and to identify the factors associated with the difference between necessity and concern scores (total score on the Beliefs about Medicines Questionnaire [BMQ]).

Material and methods

Study design and population

It was a cross-sectional study including patients with RA according to the criteria of the American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) [9]. The study was conducted between January and June 2025 in the Rheumatology Department B of Al Ayachi Hospital, Ibn Sina University Hospital Center, in Rabat, Morocco.

Study population

All patients over the age of 18 who were being treated for RA and had received or were receiving MTX-based treatment were included in the study.

Patients with major cognitive or psychiatric disorders or who refused to participate were excluded.

Sampling

This was a comprehensive, convenience sample study targeting all adult patients with RA treated with MTX who were admitted to the rheumatology department during the inclusion period. No sampling technique was used, as all eligible patients meeting the inclusion criteria were invited to participate in the study during their routine clinical visits.

Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire (see Supplementary Material) was developed following a thorough analysis of previous studies, including systematic reviews and related surveys, on fears and beliefs about MTX [7, 10, 11]. It was designed using a structured methodological approach in line with international recommendations for survey studies [12].

The questionnaire was developed by a multidisciplinary group of 5 experts, including 4 rheumatologists

(3 professors and a specialist with a PhD) and a statistician. Each expert independently assessed the clarity, relevance and structure of the items. In order to ensure content validity, the individual assessments were discussed collectively and revisions were made by consensus to confirm that all items were complete and appropriate to the objectives and context of the study.

A pilot test was conducted with ten patients who were not part of the final study sample. The objective was to assess the clarity, comprehensibility, and feasibility of the questionnaire. Feedback gathered during the pilot phase led to minor adjustments in wording to improve accuracy and readability. The average time required to complete the questionnaire during the pilot phase was approximately 10 minutes, confirming its practicality.

In the final version, all questions were mandatory in order to avoid missing data. Responses were carefully reviewed for internal consistency, and incomplete or contradictory responses were excluded from the analysis.

A structured questionnaire was administered face-to-face by a trained interviewer:

- The first section collected information on age, sex, education level, profession, and disease characteristics (duration, treatments received).
- The second section focused on information related to MTX use. Questions covered the time between prescription and start of treatment, total duration of use, dosage form, method of administration for the injectable form, concomitant use of folic acid, and sources of information about RA and its treatments.
- The third section of the questionnaire focused on fears associated with MTX. These fears were assessed using a specific 12-item scale, each item rated on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree, 5 = strongly agree). The items explored perceptions of the drug (perceived toxicity, dependence), apprehension about self-injection or forgetting an injection, and concerns about digestive and skin effects, fertility, self-image, the risk of the treatment losing its effectiveness, and the risk of disability.

The overall fear score was the average of the 12 items, with each item having the same weight. It ranged from 1 (low fear) to 5 (high fear). Patients were classified into 3 categories: low (score < 2.5), moderate ($2.5 \leq \text{score} < 3.5$), and high (score ≥ 3.5).

A pretest was conducted with ten patients to verify the clarity and comprehensibility of the items. The internal consistency of the scale was deemed satisfactory, with a Cronbach's α coefficient greater than 0.7, reflecting good internal homogeneity and adequate psychometric reliability for use in clinical research.

Beliefs about medicines were assessed using the validated Arabic version of the Beliefs about Medicines

Questionnaire-Specific (BMQ-Specific), originally developed by Horne et al. [13, 14].

The last section assessed patients' beliefs about medications. The BMQ-Specific consists of 2 domains: the BMQ-Necessity and the BMQ-Concerns. The BMQ-Necessity includes 5 items that assess the necessity of medications to control disease and improve or maintain health. The BMQ-Concerns also includes 5 items that assess the potential negative consequences of taking medications, such as long-term effects, dependence, and other adverse effects.

Each item in both domains is rated on a 5-point Likert scale, from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).

Table I. Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the study population

Variable	n = 102
Sex	
Female ^a	92 (90.2)
Male ^a	10 (9.8)
Age [years] ^b	53.1 ±12.5
Level of education ^a	
No formal education	40 (39.2)
Primary education	20 (19.6)
Secondary education	17 (16.7)
Higher education	25 (24.5)
Area of residence ^a	
Urban	86 (84.3)
Rural	16 (15.7)
Disease duration [years] ^c	14 [9.91–23.8]
Current treatment ^a	
NSAIDs	12 (11.8)
GCs	68 (66.7)
MTX	71 (69.6)
Leflunomide	15 (14.7)
Sulfasalazine	10 (9.8)
bDMARDs	39 (28.2)
Anti-CD20	14 (13.7)
Anti-TNF	23 (22)
Anti-IL-6	2 (2)

^aCategorical variables expressed as n (%). Area of residence refers to the patient's current residence.

^bContinuous variables expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

^cContinuous variables expressed as median [interquartile range].

bDMARDs – biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs, GCs – glucocorticosteroids, IL-6 – interleukin-6, MTX – methotrexate, NSAIDs – non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, TNF – tumor necrosis factor.

The scores for the individual items were added together to obtain a score for each domain (Necessity or Concerns).

Total scores range from 5 to 25 for each of the 2 domains. Higher scores indicate a stronger perception. The necessity-concerns differential was then calculated by subtracting the BMQ-Concerns score from the BMQ-Necessity score; a positive value indicates that the perception of MTX as necessary outweighs concerns about its use.

Reliability testing methods

The internal consistency of the scale was considered good, with a Cronbach's α coefficient of 0.83 indicating high internal homogeneity, which supports the psychometric reliability of the instrument.

Statistical analysis

A descriptive analysis was performed. Qualitative variables were presented as numbers and percentages. Quantitative variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation when their distribution was compatible with normality (Shapiro-Wilk test), and as median [interquartile range] otherwise. Univariate and then multivariate analysis was used to identify factors associated with beliefs about medications, particularly the BMQ necessity-concerns differential score. The data were analyzed using Jamovi statistical software (2.3.19). A *p*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Bioethical standards

Participation in this study was entirely voluntary. All patients were informed of the study objectives, procedures, and data collection process by means of an information sheet provided prior to their participation. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant before completing the questionnaire. The questionnaire was administered in person by a trained interviewer. All data collected were treated confidentially and anonymised in accordance with ethical guidelines. Participants were explicitly informed that they could withdraw from the study at any time without any consequences for their medical care.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Mohammed V University in Rabat (Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, ethics approval reference: 205/25, approval granted in 2025). The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its subsequent amendments.

Results

A total of 102 patients with RA agreed to participate in the study. The sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of these patients are presented in Table I.

The mean age was 53.1 ± 12.5 years, and 90.2% of participants were women. The illiteracy rate was 39.2%.

The median duration of RA was 14 years (9.91–23.8), with a median duration of MTX use of 4 years (2–10). The median time between MTX prescription and treatment initiation was 2 weeks (0–24).

Methotrexate was mainly administered as injections to be prepared and tablets, in 58.8% and 29.4% of cases, respectively. Self-injection was practiced by 23.8% of patients. Concomitant folic acid supplementation was prescribed in 78.4% of patients. The main sources of information about MTX were rheumatologists, cited by 93.1% of patients, followed by internet sources, cited by 25.5% of patients.

The fear scale showed excellent internal consistency (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.895$; McDonald's $\omega = 0.898$), with corrected item–total correlations above 0.30. The median overall score for fear of MTX was 2.58 (1.93–3.33), indicating an overall moderate level of fear: 46.1% of patients had a low level of fear, 30.4% had a moderate level, and 23.5% had a high level. Detailed descriptive results for each item are shown in Table II.

Beliefs about MTX (Table III). The mean BMQ-Necessity score was 15.0 ± 5.04 , and the mean BMQ-Concerns score was 15.9 ± 6.37 . Long-term adverse effects were the main source of concern, reported by 58.8% of patients.

Table II. Methotrexate patients' fears

Perceptions and fears	1 (%)	2 (%)	3 (%)	4 (%)	5 (%)
I am afraid that MTX is chemotherapy	44.1	17.6	10.8	17.6	10.8
I am afraid of self-injections	39.2	25.5	6.9	14.7	13.4
I am afraid of missing an injection of MTX, which would cause a flare-up of my disease	23.5	9.8	11.8	30.4	24.5
I am afraid MTX will cause liver complications	16.7	8.8	11.8	24.5	38.2
I am afraid MTX will cause digestive complications	13.7	9.8	10.8	36.3	29.4
I am afraid MTX will accelerate the ageing of my skin	39.2	20.6	15.7	14.7	9.8
I am afraid MTX will cause hair loss	37.3	14.7	13.7	17.6	16.7
I am afraid MTX will cause a skin allergy	31.4	20.6	11.8	16.7	19.6
I am afraid MTX will affect my fertility	49	20.6	12.7	10.8	6.9
I am afraid MTX will cause a loss of self-image	41.2	21.6	10.8	18.6	7.8
I am afraid MTX won't be efficient for my disease	26.5	16.7	17.6	24.5	14.7
I am afraid MTX will cause handicaps	41.2	18.6	10.8	17.6	11.8

1 – strongly disagree, 2 – disagree, 3 – neither agree nor disagree, 4 – agree, 5 – strongly agree.
MTX – methotrexate.

Table III. Beliefs of Moroccan patients with inflammatory rheumatic diseases regarding methotrexate

			Agree or strongly agree
BMQ-Specific	BMQ-Concerns	Having to take MTX worries me	39.2%
		I sometimes worry about the long-term effects of MTX	58.8%
		Methotrexate is a mystery to me	45.1%
		Methotrexate disrupts my life	36.2%
		I sometimes worry about becoming too dependent on MTX	42.2%
		Score total	15.9 ±6.37
	BMQ-Necessity	My health, at present, depends on MTX	55%
		My life would be impossible without MTX	36.2%
		Without MTX, I would be very ill	54%
		My health in the future will depend on MTX	46.1%
		Methotrexate protects me from becoming worse	60.8%
		Score total	15 ±5.04
		BMQ Differential	

BMQ – Beliefs about Medicines Questionnaire, MTX – methotrexate.

Table IV. Univariate and multivariate analyses for the BMQ necessity–concerns differential

Variable	β (univariate)	95% CI	β (multivariate)	95% CI
Age [years]	–0.03	From –0.11 to 0.04	0.01	From –2.36 to 8.53
Sex (female)	1.34	From –1.90 to 4.59	0.64	From –2.68 to 3.97
Urban residence	–3.94	From –6.49 to –1.39	–2.52	From –5.20 to 0.15
High level of education	–3.14	From –5.56 to –0.72	–1.37	From –4.08 to 1.33
Disease duration [years]	–0.15	From –0.24 to –0.05	–0.12	From –0.23 to –0.02
Biologic therapy	–0.87	From –2.86 to 1.11	–0.61	From –2.47 to 1.24
Medical source of information	4.67	0.95 to 8.39	1.86	From –2.73 to 6.47
Internet as a source of information	–4.38	From –6.31 to –2.44	–2.66	From –5.06 to –0.27

The BMQ necessity–concerns differential (“BMQ differential”) had a median of 1 [from –3 to 4]; 51% of patients had a BMQ necessity–concerns differential > 0.

In the univariate and multivariate analyses (Table IV), the BMQ differential was significantly associated with disease duration ($\beta = -0.12$; 95% CI: from –0.23 to –0.02) and the use of the internet as a source of medical information ($\beta = -2.66$; 95% CI: from –5.06 to –0.27).

Discussion

In our study, 51% of patients with RA had a positive BMQ differential, indicating that they recognized the need for MTX to address their conditions. This suggests an overall favorable attitude towards this treatment. More than half of the respondents (54%) reported that their treatment “protected them from disease progression”. The main concern was long-term side effects, cited by 58.8% of patients. Digestive and hepatic effects were reported by 65.7% and 62.7% of patients, respectively. These results are consistent with those of previous studies, which reported that gastrointestinal toxicity (nausea, abdominal pain and diarrhea) and hepatotoxicity are the most feared MTX side effects, even among patients who have not experienced them directly [7, 10, 15].

Despite the existence of considerable clinical evidence suggesting a favorable hepatic safety profile for MTX in the treatment of RA, one of the most frequently reported concerns among patients remains hepatic toxicity. Recent data from a cross-sectional study demonstrated no association between MTX exposure and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease or liver fibrosis. Metabolic factors appear to be the main determinants of liver damage [16]. Similar results were reported in a cohort of patients receiving long-term MTX, where an increased incidence of liver fibrosis was not associated with the cumulative dose received [17].

Literature demonstrates that RA patients frequently express concerns about adverse effects, drug toxicity, and long-term consequences, including fear of disability

or disease progression. Palominos et al. reported that fears relating to pharmacological treatment were present in half of the analyzed studies, while fears relating to disability and disease progression were present in 28% of cases [18]. Hayden et al. [10] found that patients on MTX oscillated between necessity and concern, and that their beliefs may change over the course of their treatment. These findings confirm that such fears are significant determinants of patient compliance and highlight the importance of recognizing and addressing them in clinical practice.

Our analyses revealed that both disease duration and the use of the internet as a source of information were independently associated with patients’ beliefs about MTX. A longer duration of RA was significantly associated with a lower total BMQ score, reflecting a reduced perception of treatment necessity and increased concerns about it.

While previous studies have reported that prolonged disease duration leads to a stronger belief in the need for treatment [19, 20], our results suggest the opposite in this context. This discrepancy could be explained by treatment fatigue, resulting from the cumulative impact of medication experiences, adverse effects, and treatment failures, which gradually lead to a loss of confidence and heightened concerns. This finding emphasizes the evolving and contextual nature of medication beliefs, as demonstrated in several studies [10, 19].

Using the internet as a source of information also influenced beliefs about MTX. Patients who reported consulting online sources had a significantly lower total BMQ score, reflecting an increased perception of risks relative to the necessity of treatment. These findings are in line with those of Otón et al. [21], who observed that most patients treated with MTX actively seek information online, but are rarely referred by their rheumatologist to reliable online resources, resulting in unsatisfied information needs and potentially confusing content. In Morocco, internet access is expanding rapidly, but the quality, reliability and readability of the available medical content vary greatly.

International data [22–24] confirm that the impact of the internet on therapeutic beliefs is ambivalent; while it can promote patient autonomy and active participation in care, it can also cause confusion, concern, or even refusal of treatment when information is not correctly interpreted or contextualized. These findings emphasize the importance of integrating eHealth literacy into the doctor–patient relationship. Patients should be directed towards certified platforms and content, and the reliability of online sources should be systematically addressed during consultations. This reduces the impact of misinformation and builds confidence in treatments, particularly in settings where health literacy is limited.

Univariate analysis revealed that education level and source of medical information were independently associated with beliefs about MTX. Patients with a higher education had lower total BMQ scores than those with no education, suggesting a more critical assessment of potential risks relative to perceived necessity. This finding is consistent with the results of a study conducted in the United Kingdom by Kumar et al. [25], which showed that lower levels of education were associated with more favorable beliefs about medications. Conversely, receiving information directly from the rheumatologist was associated with higher BMQ scores, reflecting a stronger belief in the necessity of treatment. However, this association was no longer significant in the multivariate analysis. These results highlight the importance of therapeutic education and structured medical communication based on a trusting relationship to support MTX adherence [26, 27].

Our results underline the complexity of beliefs about MTX. These are not only determined by clinical factors, but also by the social and cultural context. Patients living in rural areas had higher BMQ scores than those in urban areas, suggesting a stronger belief in the need for treatment. Conversely, urban patients, who were exposed to more sources of information, expressed more concerns. These observations are consistent with previous reports showing that cultural and contextual differences can strongly influence patients' perceptions of medications [20, 28].

Study limitations

However, we should note some limitations of our study. Firstly, the small sample size, resulting from treatment discontinuations observed during the inclusion period, reduces statistical power and may limit the scope of the conclusions. In addition, the cross-sectional design of the study does not enable us to establish a causal link between the variables. Beliefs may evolve over time depending on disease progression and therapeutic experiences. Finally, conducting the study in a single Moroccan center may limit the generalisability of the results.

Conclusions

Our results show that MTX is generally perceived as necessary by patients with RA, despite fears associated with its use. The duration of the disease and internet use were associated with a “concerns > necessity” balance, highlighting the need for therapeutic education interventions adapted to the cultural context, as well as guidance towards reliable digital sources, to optimize adherence and clinical outcomes.

Disclosures

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding: No external funding.

Ethics approval: The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University Mohammed V, Rabat, Morocco (Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy), with approval number 205/25.

Data availability: The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author (L.H.).

References

1. Smolen JS, Landewé RBM, Bergstra SA, et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: 2022 update. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2023; 82: 3–18, DOI: 10.1136/ard-2022-223356.
2. Fautrel B, Kedra J, Rempenault C, et al. 2024 update of the recommendations of the French Society of Rheumatology for the diagnosis and management of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Joint Bone Spine* 2024; 91: 105790, DOI: 10.1016/j.jbspin.2024.105790.
3. Fellous S, Rkain H, Ahid S, et al. One-year direct costs of biological therapy in rheumatoid arthritis and its predictive factors: data from the Moroccan RBSMR registry. *Rheumatol Int* 2021; 41: 787–793, DOI: 10.1007/s00296-020-04762-7.
4. Buch MH, Eyre S, McGonagle D. Persistent inflammatory and non-inflammatory mechanisms in refractory rheumatoid arthritis. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 2021; 17: 17–33, DOI: 10.1038/s41584-020-00541-7.
5. Chowdhury T, Dutta J, Noel P, et al. An Overview on Causes of Nonadherence in the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis: Its Effect on Mortality and Ways to Improve Adherence. *Cureus* 2022; 14: e24520, DOI: 10.7759/cureus.24520.
6. van den Bemt BJ, Zwikker HE, van den Ende CH. Medication adherence in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a critical appraisal of the existing literature. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol* 2012; 8: 337–351, DOI: 10.1586/eci.12.23.
7. Nalwa HS, Prasad P, Ganguly NK, et al. Methotrexate intolerance in rheumatoid arthritis. *Transl Med Commun* 2023; 8: 10, DOI: 10.1186/s41231-023-00142-y.
8. Curtis JR, Xie F, Mackey D, et al. Patient's experience with subcutaneous and oral methotrexate for the treatment of rheu-

- matoid arthritis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2016; 17: 405, DOI: 10.1186/s12891-016-1254-x.
9. Hua C, Combe B. The new ACR/EULAR 2010 classification criteria for an earlier rheumatoid arthritis diagnosis. *Revue du Rhumatisme Monographies* 2017; 84: 337–342, DOI: 10.1016/j.monrhu.2017.07.001.
 10. Hayden C, Neame R, Tarrant C. Patients' adherence-related beliefs about methotrexate: a qualitative study of the role of written patient information. *BMJ Open* 2015; 5: e006918, DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006918.
 11. Tornero Molina J, López Robledillo JC, Casamira Ruiz N. Potential Benefits of the Self-Administration of Subcutaneous Methotrexate with Autoinjector Devices for Patients: a Review. *Drug Healthc Patient Saf* 2021; 13: 81–94, DOI: 10.2147/DHPS.S290771.
 12. Zimba O, Gasparyan AY. Designing, Conducting, and Reporting Survey Studies: A Primer for Researchers. *J Korean Med Sci* 2023; 38: e403, DOI: 10.3346/jkms.2023.38.e403.
 13. Alhalaia F, Masa'Deh R, Batiha AM, et al. Validity of Arabic Version of beliefs about Medication Questionnaire. *Clin Nurs Res* 2015; 24: 539–555, DOI: 10.1177/1054773814545383.
 14. Horne R, Weinman J, Hankins M. The beliefs about medicines questionnaire: the development and evaluation of a new method for assessing the cognitive representation of medication. *Psychol Health* 1999; 14: 1–24, DOI: 10.1080/08870449908407311.
 15. Sherbini AA, Gwinnutt JM, Hyrich KL; RAMS Co-Investigators, Verstappen SMM. Rates and predictors of methotrexate-related adverse events in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis: results from a nationwide UK study. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2022; 61: 3930–3938, DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/keab917.
 16. Zekić T, Blažić F, Katalinić N, et al. Methotrexate and biological therapy are not associated with fatty liver in rheumatoid arthritis-a cross-sectional study. *Rheumatol Int* 2025; 45: 236, DOI: 10.1007/s00296-025-05987-0.
 17. AkbariRad M, Rezaieyazdi Z, Tajik A, et al. The relationship between dose of methotrexate and incidence of liver fibrosis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Reumatologia* 2025; 63: 3–11, DOI: 10.5114/reum/199740.
 18. Palominos PE, Gasparin AA, de Andrade NPB, et al. Fears and beliefs of people living with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic literature review. *Adv Rheumatol* 2018; 58: 1, DOI: 10.1186/s42358-018-0001-4.
 19. Abdulridha SH, Kadhim DJ, Razzak SAA. Beliefs about Medicines among a Sample of Iraqi patients with Psoriasis. *Innov Pharm* 2021; 12: 10.24926/iip.v12i1.3584, DOI: 10.24926/iip.v12i1.3584.
 20. Tosato S, Bonetto C, Zanini A, et al. Clinical and psychological characteristics associated with negative beliefs and concerns about treatment necessity in rheumatic diseases. *Sci Rep* 2022; 12: 22603, DOI: 10.1038/s41598-022-27046-5.
 21. Otón T, Carmona L, Andreu JL. What do patients on methotrexate need and expect at the clinic? An online patient survey. *Rheumatol Int* 2023; 43: 735–741, DOI: 10.1007/s00296-022-05249-3.
 22. Lim HM, Dunn AG, Lim JR, et al. Association between on-line health information-seeking and medication adherence: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Digit Health* 2022; 8: 20552076221097784, DOI: 10.1177/20552076221097784.
 23. Sharma C, Whittle S, Haghighi PD, et al. Mining social media data to investigate patient perceptions regarding DMARD pharmacotherapy for rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020; 79: 1432–1437, DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217333.
 24. Thapa DK, Visentin DC, Kornhaber R, et al. The influence of on-line health information on health decisions: A systematic review. *Patient Educ Couns* 2021; 104: 770–784, DOI: 10.1016/j.pec.2020.11.016.
 25. Kumar K, Gordon C, Toescu V, et al. Beliefs about medicines in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus: a comparison between patients of South Asian and White British origin. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2008; 47: 690–697, DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/ken050.
 26. Barton JL, Trupin L, Tonner C, et al. English language proficiency, health literacy, and trust in physician are associated with shared decision making in rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2014; 41: 1290–1297, DOI: 10.3899/jrheum.131350.
 27. Martin RW, Head AJ, René J, et al. Patient decision-making related to antirheumatic drugs in rheumatoid arthritis: the importance of patient trust of physician. *J Rheumatol* 2008; 35: 618–624.
 28. McCulley C, Katz P, Trupin L, et al. Association of Medication Beliefs, Self-efficacy, and Adherence in a Diverse Cohort of Adults with Rheumatoid Arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2018; 45: 1636–1642, DOI: 10.3899/jrheum.171339.